AGENDA ITEM #15

CARSON WATER SUBCONSERVANCY DISTRICT

TO:

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

FROM:

EDWIN D. JAMES

DATE:

MARCH 18, 2015

SUBJECT: Agenda Item #15 – Discussion for possible action regarding a report by the General Manager on the FEMA Cooperative Technical Partnership training on February 22-26, 2015

DISCUSSION: For the week of February 23-27, 2015, the General Manager attended the FEMA Cooperative Technical Partnership (CTP) Training Program in Emmitsville, Maryland. He will give a report on what he learned, particularly in reference to the FEMA MAS grants managed by CWSD.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Receive and file.



CARSON WATER SUBCONSERVANCY DISTRICT

TO: BOARD OF DIRECTORS

FROM: EDWIN D. JAMES 🖨

DATE: MARCH 18, 2015

SUBJECT: Agenda Item #16 – Discussion for possible action regarding an update on the Nevada Legislature.

DISCUSSION: Below is a brief description of various BDRs and Bills that staff is monitoring:

AB 19 (formerly BDR 456) - This bill modifies when you need to hold a public hearing on the tentative budget. This bill was amend and was passed by the Committee on Government Affairs.

AB 77 (formerly BDR 346) - This is a large bill but most of the bill does not affect CWSD. There is some discussion regarding noxious weeds. This bill was heard on 2/19/15 and is still in committee.

AB 198 (formerly BDR 805) - This bill would require the Legislative Committee on Public Lands to conduct a study concerning water conservation and alternative sources of water for Nevada communities. This bill was heard on 3/5/15 in Assembly Committee on Legislative Operations and Elections. Several large water agencies spoke in support of the bill. No one spoke against the bill. The bill is still in committee.

SB 28 (formerly BDR 464) - This bill would allow government agencies to charge a fee for the actual time it takes for someone to gather information for a public records request. There was a lot of opposition to this bill. Most of the opposition came from individuals, news organizations, and the ACLU. Their concerns had to do with the limiting of access to information due to costs.

SB 45 (formerly BDR 361) - This bill would allow the State Conservation Commission "... to distribute grants of money to conservation districts in unequal amounts." This bill was passed through the Senate and was heard in the Assembly Committee on Natural Resources on 3/6/15.

SB 65 & SB 81(formerly BDR 366 & BDR 367) – Both these bills were introduced by the State Engineer. The bills were first heard in Senate Committee on Government Affairs on 2/11/15. There was a lot of discussion regarding these two bills and they were sent to work sessions held by the State Engineer. The State Engineer held three work sessions, and the bill will now be sent back to the Senate Committee on Government Affairs.

SB 70 (formerly BDR 155) - This bill makes some general changes to the Open Meeting law. Some of the changes are:

3/18/15 CWSD Board Meeting Agenda Item #16 - Legislative Update

- Clarifies a "Quorum" for a public meeting. This does not impact CWSD since our quorum is defined in NRS.
- Defines "Working Days" for posting.
- Prohibits a member of a public body from designating someone else to attend the meeting on their behalf.
- Requires public bodies to approve the minutes of a meeting at the next board meeting.
- Modifies Attorney General rules regarding Open Meeting violations.

The bill was heard by the Senate Committee on Government Affairs on 2/25/15. No action was taken.

A couple of other bills were introduced that deal with water but do not impact our watershed. These bills are:

SB 173 which has to do with the requirement of the mining industry to get a water right for pit mines; and

SB 202 which has to do with hydraulic fracturing in the State.

Also, Assemblywoman Robin Titus may be introducing **BDR 1130** which would provide funding to the State's Clearing and Snagging Fund.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Provide direction to CWSD staff as appropriate.

ASSEMBLY AGENDA

COMMITTEE ON NATURAL RESOURCES, AGRICULTURE, AND MINING

Day Thursday

Date February 19, 2015

Start Time 1:30 p.m.

Room 3138

Room 3138 of the Legislative Building, 401 S. Carson St., Carson City, NV.

A.B. 77 Makes various changes relating to the regulation of agriculture. (BDR 49-346)

A.B. 79 Revises provisions relating to agriculture. (BDR 50-345)

Overview

Carson Water Subconservancy District Edwin James, General Manager

Humboldt River Basin Water Authority
Mike Baughman, Executive Director

Matters continued from a previous meeting.

Possible Committee BDR introductions.

Public comment.

Unless waived by the Chair, proposed amendments, handouts and other exhibits for a hearing must be submitted electronically in PDF format to the Committee Manager at AsmNRAM@asm.state.nv.us no later than 2:00 p.m. on the business day prior to the meeting.

Proposed amendments must be submitted in writing to the committee along with the sponsor's name, contact information, and the intent of the amendment.

If you cannot attend the meeting, you can listen to it live over the Internet. The address for the legislative website is http://www.leq.state.nv.us. For audio broadcasts, click on the link "Calendar of Meetings."

Note: We are pleased to make reasonable accommodations for members of the public who are disabled and wish to attend the meeting. If special arrangements for the meeting are necessary, please notify the Assembly Committee on Natural Resources, Agriculture, and Mining at (775) 684-6738.

(R#) Indicates the reprint number of the bill/resolution being considered.

PLEASE PROVIDE 20 COPIES OF YOUR DOCUMENTS.



Meeting ID: 279

AGENDA ITEM #17

CARSON WATER SUBCONSERVANCY DISTRICT

TO:

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

FROM:

EDWIN D. JAMES

DATE:

March 18, 2015

SUBJECT: Agenda Item #17 - Discussion for possible action regarding the 2015 Water Rate Report for the Carson River Watershed.

DISCUSSION: Attached is a draft of the 2015 Water & Sewer Rate Report for the Carson River Watershed with Sewer Rate Supplement. This is an annual advisory report that CWSD has been preparing for several years. It is used by several water purveyors and consultants throughout the watershed. Upon approval by this Board, a link to the report will be sent to all Water Purveyors in the watershed and posted on the CWSD website.

The report lists the retail connection fees, base rates, usage rates, and additional fees charged by each purveyor in the Carson River watershed. Entities that raised their rates:

- Carson City
- Churchill County
- Douglas County
- > Indian Hills GID
- > Lyon County
- Silver Springs
- Stagecoach GID
- > Storey County

The report also includes water rate comparison of Truckee Meadows Water Authority's (TMWA) water rates as listed on its' website. Note TMWA took over Washoe County water operations for Washoe County, so TMWA rates apply to all water connections in Truckee Meadows.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Accept the 2015 Water Rate Report as presented.

Water Rates in the Carson River Watershed

3-18-2014

Includes Sewer Rates Supplement

Compiled by Carson Water Subconservancy District

TABLE OF CONTENTS

WATER RATES IN THE CARSON RIVER WATERSHED

CARSON CITY	4
CHURCHILL COUNTY	7
DOUGLAS COUNTY	9
FALLON	11
GARDNERVILLE RANCHOS GENERAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT	13
GARDNERVILLE WATER COMPANY	15
INDIAN HILLS GENERAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT	18
LYON COUNTY	20
TOWN OF MINDEN	22
SILVER SPRINGS MUTUAL WATER COMPANY	24
STAGECOACH GENERAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT	26
STOREY COUNTY	28
TRUCKEE MEADOWS WATER AUTHORITY	30
WASHOE COUNTY	33
SEWER RATES IN THE CARSON RIVER WATERSHED	
CARSON CITY SEWER RATES	35
CHURCHILL COUNTY SEWER RATES	36
DOUGLAS COUNTY SEWER RATES	37
FALLON SEWER RATES	38
GARDNERVILLE RANCHOS GENERAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT SEWER RATES	39
INDIAN HILLS GENERAL IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT SEWER RATES	40
LYON COUNTY DAYTON – MOUNDHOUSE SEWER RATES	41
LYON COUNTY SILVER SPRINGS SEWER RATES	43
MINDEN – GARDNERVILLE SANITATION DISRICT SEWER RATES	44
STOREY COUNTY SEWER RATES	45



Carson City

Population of Service Area: 54,115 * DRAFT

Annual Demand (AF): 11,756 **
Service Connections: 17,577

Residential: 14,504
Multi-Family: 414
Commercial: 1,966
Irrigation: not split out

Other: 693 Inactive 100%

Metered Connections: 100% Unmetered Connections: 0

Re-Use Connections: 5 (Effluent use at capacity)

Bill Frequency: monthly

Unidentified

System Losses: <3%
Conservation Plan: Yes

Reason for

Water Conservation: Meet peak demands
Rate Change Effective: July 1, 2014, and each

July 1 through FY 2017

Expect Rate Change in

the upcoming year? Yes, July 1, 2015

^{* 2015} Community Development estimate

^{** 2014} Total Production

Carson City

Carson	City	Water	Rates:
--------	------	-------	--------

Carson City Water Rates:		
Effective Date:	7/1/2014	7/1/2015
	Base Rate*	Base Rate*
≤ 5/8"	\$ 22.68	\$ 24.15
1"		\$ 36.75
1 1/2"		\$ 54.86
2"		\$ 68.25
3"	<u>· </u>	\$ 99.75
4"		\$ 131.25
6"	<u>'</u>	\$ 194.25
10"	\$ 453.53	\$ 483.01
Multi-Family Per Unit:	\$ 8.03	\$ 8.55
•	Single Family Residential	Single Family Residential
	Usage Chg per	Usage Chg
	k/gal*	per k/gal*
	≤ 3 in base	≤ 2 in base
	≥ 4 ≤ 5 @\$ <u>1.26</u>	≥ 3 ≤ 5 @\$ <u>1.44</u>
	≥ 6 ≤ 30 @\$ <u>2.57</u>	≥ 6 ≤ 30 @\$ <u>2.75</u>
	> 30 @\$ <u>4.41</u>	> 30 @\$ <u>4.59</u>
	Multifamily Residential	Multifamily Residential
	Usage Chg per	Usage Chg
	k/gal*	per k/gal*
	≤ 3 in base	≤ 2 in base
	≥ 4 ≤ 19 @\$ <u>1.20</u>	≥ 3 ≤ 19 @\$ <u>1.48</u>
	≥ 20 ≤ 49 @\$ <u>1.85</u>	≥ 20 ≤ 49 @\$ <u>1.91</u>
	> 49 @\$ <u>2.81</u>	> 49 @\$2.55
	Commercial	Commercial
	Usage Chg per	Usage Chg
	k/gal*	per k/gal*
	≤ 3 in base	≤ 2 in base
	≥ 4 ≤ 19 @\$ <u>2.71</u>	≥ 3 ≤ 19 @\$ <u>3.01</u>
	≥ 20 ≤ 49 @\$ <u>3.00</u>	≥ 20 ≤ 49 @\$ <u>3.19</u>
	> 49 @\$3.94	> 49 @\$3.82
	Industrial / Manufacturing	Industrial / Manufacturing
	Usage Chg per	Usage Chg
	k/gal* \$3.82 per k/gal	per k/gal* \$3.78 per k/gal
	Large Commercial	Large Commercial
	Usage Chg per	Usage Chg
	k/gal	per k/gal
	\$3.82 per k/gal	\$3.78 per k/gal
	70.02 PCI 19 BUI	Anivo her Man

^{*} Increased rates from previous year are <u>underlined</u>; Decreased rates from previous year are <u>italicized</u>.

Carson City

Conne	ection	Fees:
-------	--------	-------

Residential:	Meter Only		Meter & Service In	stallation
	≤ 5/8"	\$409.50	≤ 5/8"	\$1,522.50
	1"	\$493.50	1"	\$1,785.00
	1 1/2"	\$661.50	1 1/2"	\$2,835.00
	2"	\$819.00	2"	\$3,675.00
	3"	\$2,341.50	3"	\$5,880.00
	4"	\$3,990.00	4"	\$9,754.45
	6"	\$7,350.00	6"	\$14,280.00

Commercial:

Commercial Connection

Single Family Residence =	1.0 WERC
Each living unit of Duplexes =	1.0 WERC
Each living unit of Apartments =	0.5 WERC
Mobile Home Lot =	1.0 WERC
Mobile Home Pad in Park =	0.5 WERC

Other Charges/Fees:

\$27.30/mo + a commodity rate equal to the highest commodity charge for commercial water service for each 1,000 gallons. (7/1/2014 rate is \$3.94 per k/gal; 7/1/2015 rate is \$3.82 per k/gal).

Construction/Water Truck Service:

Irrigation Water: N/A

Stormwater: Rate increase effective 10/1/2013

Classification: Monthly Rate

Single Family Property \$4.38

Multi Family Property \$22.56

Public Property \$24.64

Manufacturing Property \$29.38

Commercial Property \$31.51

1/2015 Updated by Dave Bruketta and Carson City staff

Churchill County

Population of Service Area: ±674 DRAFT

Annual Demand (AF): 145 Service Connections: 257

Residential: 246

Multi-Family:

Commercial: 11

Irrigation:

Other:

Metered Connections:100%Unmetered Connections:0Re-Use Connections:0Bill Frequency:monthly

Unidentified

System Losses: Unknown
Conservation Plan: Yes

Reason for

Water Conservation: Long-term sustainability

Rate Increase Effective: 1/1/2015

Churchill County Residential and Commercial Water Rates

Residential:			
	Ba	se Rate	Usage Chg
Small Domestic ≤ 1"	\$	46.20	k/gal
Large Domestic 1 1/2"	\$	69.20	≤6@\$2.10
2"	\$	107.70	>6≤20@\$2.60
3"	\$	225.50	>20@\$3.15
4"	\$	328.00	
6"	\$	656.00	<u> </u>

Commercial:				
	В	ase Rate	Usage Chg	
Small Commercial			k/gal	
	≤ 1" \$	69.20	≤6@\$2.10	
			>6≤20@\$2.60	
			>20@\$3.15	
Large Commercial				
	1 1/2" \$	69.20	≤20@\$.0025	
	2" \$	107.70	>20≤40@\$.0030	
	3" \$	225.50	>40-60@\$.0035	
	4" \$	328.00	>60@\$.0040	
	6+" \$	656.00		

Expect Rate Change in the upcoming year? 2.5% annually January 1

Churchill County

Connection Fees:

Residential:		Connection	Service Connection		
	F	rivilege Fee	Installation		
	≤ 3/4" \$	7,200.00	\$ 450.00		
Commercial:					
	≤ 3/4" \$	7,000.00	\$ 450.00		
	1" \$	7,600.00	\$ 550.00		
	1 1/2" \$	9,200.00	Actual Cost		
	2" :	\$ 11,000.00	Actual Cost		
	>2" a	n amount greater tha	n \$11,000 set by Churchill County		

Other Charges/Fees:

Construction/Water Truck Service:

Irrigation Water:

\$150/mo + \$2.60/1000 gal

No information at this time

Stormwater: No information at this time

Douglas County

Population of

Service Area: 5,391 DRAFT

Annual Demand

(AF): 1,925

Service

Connections: 2,440
Residential: 2,109
Multi-Family: 0

Commercial: 216
Irrigation: 115
Other: 0

Metered

Connections: 100%

Unmetered

Connections: 0

Re-Use

Connections: 0

Bill Frequency: monthly

Unidentified

System Losses: <4%

Conservation

Plan: Yes

Reason for

Water Better water management

Rate Increase

Effective: 10/1/2014 Water rates will increase July 1 through FY 2018 - 2019.

		Residential:	
Includes East Valley, V	est Valley, Fair	grounds, N. County, Sheric	dan Acres, & Job's Peak
Ва	se Rate	Usage Chg 2	
≤5/8" \$	23.72	k/gal	
3/4" \$	23.72	0≥8@\$2.39	
1" \$	49.03	>9-24@ \$2.97	
1.5" \$	90.61	>24@\$4.28	
2" \$	141.93		

Expect Rate Change in the upcoming year?

Yes

Water rates will change annually July 1 through FY 2018-2019

Douglas County

	Commercial:				Ir	rigation Wate	er:	
Includes East Val	Includes East Valley, West Valley, Fairgrounds, N.		Includes East Valley, West Valley,					
County, Sheridan Acres, & Job's Peak		Fairgrounds, N. County, Sheridan Acres,						
		Base Rate	Usage Chg 2		& Job's Pea	k		
≤5/8 "	\$	27.76	k/gal				Base Rate	Usage Chg
3/4"	\$	27.76	all @\$2.96		≤5/8 "	\$	14.85	k/gal
1"	\$	59.13			3/4"	\$	14.85	all @\$3.18
1 1/2"	\$	110.82			1"	\$	26.86	
2"	\$	174.24			1 1/2"	\$	46.28	
3"	\$	355.17			2"	\$	70.97	
4"	\$	544.78			3"	\$	148.64	
6"	\$	1,068.41			4"	\$	222.07	
8"	\$	1,695.87						

Water Connection Fees:

Includes East Valley,	West Valley, Fairgrounds, N. County, Sheridan Acres, & Job's Peak
≤5/8 " \$	4,219.00
3/4" \$	4,219.00
1" \$	10,548.00
1 1/2" \$	21,096.00
2" \$	33,753.00
3" \$	67,506.00
4" \$	105,479.00
6" \$	210,958.00
8" \$	337,532.00

Other Charges/Fees:

Monthly Private Fire Charges

Monthly Private	rife Cital	ges						
Includes East Valley, West Valley, Fairgrounds, N. County, Sheridan Acres, & Job's Peak								
	With Do	mestic						
	Service -							
	Resident	tial &	Without Don	nestic	Without Domesti	ic Service		
	Domesti	С	Service - Resid	lential	- Commerc	ial		
≤5/8 "	\$	2.28	\$	14.24	\$	24.41		
3/4"	\$	2.28	\$	14.24	\$	24.41		
1"	\$	3.19	\$	15.15	\$	25.33		
1 1/2"	\$	4.11	\$	16.06	\$	26.24		
2"	\$	6.61	\$	18.57	\$	28.75		
2 1/2 "	\$	15.97	\$	27.93	\$	38.11		
3"	\$	25.10	\$	37.04	\$	47.22		
4"	\$	31.94	\$	43.89	\$	54.07		
6"	\$	47.90	\$	59.86	\$	70.03		
8"	\$	66.15	\$	78.11	\$	88.29		

Water Truck Service:

\$100/mo + \$3.00/1000 gal

2/2015 Information provided by Carl Ruschmeyer and staff

City of Fallon

Po	pulation of Service Area:	8,606	DRAFT
	1. (. =)	0.004	

Annual Demand (AF): 2,334
Service Connections: 3,178

Residential: 2,851
Multi-Family: 0
Commercial: 0
Irrigation: 0

Other: 0

Metered Connections: 3,178

Unmetered Connections: N/A

Re-Use Connections: N/A

Bill Frequency: monthly

Unidentified

System Losses: N/A

Conservation Plan: Yes

Reason for N/A

Water Conservation:

Rate Increase Effective: N/A

City of Fallon Residential and Commercial Water Rates

All Rate Classes: Treatment

	В	ase Rate	Serve Charge	Usage Chg
		per Mo.	per Mo.	per K/gal
3/4"	\$	15.00	\$22.48	\$1.43
1"	\$	33.18	\$37.55	\$1.43
1 1/2"	\$	66.37	\$74.89	\$1.43
2"	\$	107.02	\$119.87	\$1.43
3"	\$	221.53	\$224.88	\$1.43
4"	\$	321.05	\$374.88	\$1.43
6"	\$	642.10	\$749.76	\$1.43

Expect Rate Change in the upcoming year?

No

City of Fallon

Connection Fees:

			EDIL	-
			EDUs	
3/	4" \$	4,000	1	
	1" \$	8,000	2	
1 1/	/2" \$	16,000	4	
	2" \$	25,600	6.4	
	3" \$	64,000	16	
	4" \$	160,000	40	
	6" \$	320,000	80	

3/4" connection = 1 EDU = 1.12 af/yr

1 EDU = \$8,000

Other Charges/Fees:

Construction/Water Truck Service:

25.00 per month

\$ Usage charge per hcf: 3.50

250.00 Deposit

2/2015 Information from Jim Souba, City of Fallon Engineer

Gardnerville Ranchos GID

Population of Service Area: 10,500 DRAFT

Annual Demand (AF):

Service Connections: 4,161

Residential: 4,121

Multi-Family:

Commercial: 48

Irrigation:

Other:

Metered Connections: 1,668 used for billing

Unmetered Connections: Partial: As houses sell, they are transferred to metered billing

Re-Use Connections: 0 or as title changes

Bill Frequency: monthly & quarterly

Unidentified

System Losses: Unknown Conservation Plan: Yes

Reason for

Water Conservation: Meet State Requirements

Rate Increase Effective: N/A

Gardnerville Ranchos GID Residential and Commercial Water Rates

Residential/Commercial Rates:

In GRGID Usage Chg
Base Rate k/gal
\$ 21.50 None

Flat Rate Monthly \$ 21.50 None Customers pay Metered Monthly \$ 21.50 ≤ 10 In Base quarterly in advance.

Outside

GRGID >10@\$0.90 \$ 33.00 ≤ 10 In Base >10@\$0.90

Unit Charge: For each unit in excess of one (1) unit served from a single metered

service connection, the unit charge shall be \$29.00 per unit.

Expect Rate Change in the upcoming year?No, Water and sewer connection fees

increased on March 6, 2013 for lots not

currently in the District (future

annexations)

Tentatively fees will increase 01/01/2020

for all

Gardnerville Ranchos GID

Connection Fees:

Service Size:	Cor	nection F	ee: Adopted
3/4"	\$	1,855	\$4,950
1"	\$	2,790	\$8,250
1/1/2"	\$	7,050	\$16,500
2"	\$	13,350	\$26,400
2.5"	\$	21,550	\$29,600
3"	\$	32,375	\$52,800
4"	\$	60,000	\$82,500
Deposit Required:	\$	100.00	May be waived with demonstration of good credit

Other Charges/Fees:

Re-initiation of Disconnected fee:

New Customer

3/4" and 1"

\$ 50.00

> 1'

Equal to cost of materials/labor for such replacement or reading shall be paid.

Reconnection after non-payment of water bill:

3/4" and 1"

\$ 50.00

Construction/Water Truck Service:

\$ 75.00 per month for hydrant monthly meter chg

\$ 1,000.00 Deposit

Standby Fire Protection

Service Connection for fire

\$ 750.00

Fire Connection Standby Fee:

\$ 12.00 per month for each commercial hookup

Inspection Fees for Service

Construction:

Included in connection fee

Inspection Fees for Line

Extensions:

Actual cost of full-time inspection and testing

Late or Non-payment fee:

\$ 5.00 per month

Bad Check Fee:

\$ 30.00

\$

Reconnection after non-payment of water

bill:

\$50.00 50.00

Reconnection or connection charge:

Maintenance Fee for lots with homes

on them but water is off

\$12.50 per month

2/2015Information provided by Bob Spellberg

Gardnerville Water Company

Population of Service Area: 5,200 DRAFT

Annual Demand (AF): 1,445 Service Connections: 2,456

> Residential: 2,027 Commercial: 347 Irrigation: 82

> > Other:

Metered Connections:100%Unmetered Connections:0Re-Use Connections:0Bill Frequency:Bi-monthly

Unidentified

System Losses: 5-10%
Conservation Plan: Yes

Reason for

Water Conservation:

Delay the need for investment in new infrastructure

Rate Increase Effective: 1/1/2014

Gardnerville Water Company Residential and Commercial Water Rates

Base Rate			_ 	ntial and Commercial Water Rates
k/gal 3/4" \$ 29.00 ≤8 in base 1" \$ 38.00 >8@\$1.33 11/2" \$ 53.00 2" \$ 68.00 3" \$ 293.00 4" \$ 343.00 6" \$ 393.00 8" \$ 443.00 8" \$ 443.00 Commercial: Bimonthly Rate Base Rate Usage Chg k/gal 3/4" \$ 29.00 ≤20@\$1.23 1" \$ 38.00 >20@\$1.76 11/2" \$ 53.00 2" \$ 68.00 2" \$ 68.00	Residential:	Bin	nonthly rate	
3/4" \$ 29.00 ≤8 in base 1" \$ 38.00 >8@\$1.33 1 1/2" \$ 53.00 2" \$ 68.00 3" \$ 293.00 4" \$ 343.00 6" \$ 393.00 8" \$ 443.00 Commercial: Bimonthly Rate Base Rate Usage Chg k/gal 3/4" \$ 29.00 ≤20@\$1.23 1" \$ 38.00 >20@\$1.76 1 1/2" \$ 53.00 2" \$ 68.00			Base Rate	Usage Chg
1" \$ 38.00				k/gal
1 1/2" \$ 53.00 2" \$ 68.00 3" \$ 293.00 4" \$ 343.00 6" \$ 393.00 8" \$ 443.00 Commercial: Bimonthly Rate Base Rate Usage Chg k/gal 3/4" \$ 29.00 3/4" \$ 29.00 11/2" \$ 53.00 2" \$ 68.00	3/4"	\$	29.00	≤8 in base
2" \$ 68.00 3" \$ 293.00 4" \$ 343.00 6" \$ 393.00 8" \$ 443.00 Commercial: Bimonthly Rate Base Rate Usage Chg k/gal 3/4" \$ 29.00 ≤20@\$1.23 1" \$ 38.00 >20@\$1.76 1 1/2" \$ 53.00 2" \$ 68.00	1"	\$	38.00	>8@\$1.33
3" \$ 293.00 4" \$ 343.00 6" \$ 393.00 8" \$ 443.00 Commercial: Bimonthly Rate Base Rate Usage Chg k/gal 3/4" \$ 29.00 ≤20@\$1.23 1" \$ 38.00 >20@\$1.76 1 1/2" \$ 53.00 2" \$ 68.00	1 1/2"	\$	53.00	
4" \$ 343.00 6" \$ 393.00 8" \$ 443.00 Commercial: Bimonthly Rate Base Rate Usage Chg k/gal 3/4" \$ 29.00 ≤20@\$1.23 1" \$ 38.00 >20@\$1.76 1 1/2" \$ 53.00 2" \$ 68.00	2"	\$	68.00	
6" \$ 393.00 8" \$ 443.00 Commercial: Bimonthly Rate Base Rate Usage Chg k/gal 3/4" \$ 29.00 ≤20@\$1.23 1" \$ 38.00 >20@\$1.76 1 1/2" \$ 53.00 2" \$ 68.00	3"	\$	293.00	
8" \$ 443.00 Commercial: Bimonthly Rate Base Rate Usage Chg k/gal 3/4" \$ 29.00 ≤20@\$1.23 1" \$ 38.00 >20@\$1.76 1 1/2" \$ 53.00 2" \$ 68.00	4"	\$	343.00	
Bimonthly Rate Base Rate Usage Chg k/gal 3/4" \$ 29.00 ≤20@\$1.23 1" \$ 38.00 >20@\$1.76 1 1/2" \$ 53.00 2" \$ 68.00	6"	\$	393.00	
Base Rate Usage Chg k/gal 3/4" \$ 29.00 ≤20@\$1.23 1" \$ 38.00 >20@\$1.76 1 1/2" \$ 53.00 2" \$ 68.00	8"	\$	443.00	
k/gal 3/4" \$ 29.00 ≤20@\$1.23 1" \$ 38.00 >20@\$1.76 1 1/2" \$ 53.00 2" \$ 68.00	Commercial:	Bir	nonthly Rate	
3/4" \$ 29.00 ≤20@\$1.23 1" \$ 38.00 >20@\$1.76 1 1/2" \$ 53.00 2" \$ 68.00			Base Rate	Usage Chg
1" \$ 38.00				k/gal
1 1/2" \$ 53.00 2" \$ 68.00	3/4"	\$	29.00	≤20@\$1.23
2" \$ 68.00	1'	\$	38.00	>20@\$1.76
· ·	1 1/2'	\$	53.00	
3" \$ 293.00	2'	\$	68.00	
J 7 233.00	3'	\$	293.00	
4" \$ 343.00	4'	\$	343.00	
6" \$ 393.00				
8" \$ 443.00		\$	393.00	

Expect Rate Change in the upcoming year?

Rates are reviewed annually, but do not anticipate a rate increase for the next couple of years.

Gardnerville Water Company

Connection Fees:

Standard Impact Fees - Resident	tial'	k	
3/4"	\$	11,455.00	
1"	\$	11,505.00	
1 1/2"	\$	13,390.00	
2"	\$	14,225.00	
3"	\$	14,030.00	
4"	\$	14,580.00	* Without Water Rights
6"	\$	15,480.00	\$7,220 per ERU
8"	\$	16,080.00	(Equivalent Residential Unit)
Standard Impact Fees-			
Commercial*			
3/4"	\$	12,855.00	
1"	\$	14,705.00	
1 1/2"	\$	18,790.00	
2"	\$	22,625.00	
3"	\$	39,430.00	
4"	\$	64,980.00	
6"	\$	95,880.00	
8"	\$	113,480.00	

Other Charges/Fees:

Construction Water Charge	\$ 3.00	per k/gal
Irrigation Use Charge k/gal	≤300@\$1.33	per k/gal
	>300@\$1.77	per k/gal
Reconnect Fee	\$ 50.00	per occurrence
Returned Payment Charge	\$ 35.00	
Final Read Fee	\$ 25.00	
		*No charge for first 2 service calls. Charged
Service Calls*	\$ 10.00	after 2nd visit per calendar year.
After-Hours Service**	\$ 50.00	** Non - emergency
Other Fees:		
Penalty on Late Payment	10%	
Annexation Fee per ac.	\$ 500.00	
Fixture Unit Cost:	\$ 315.00	

Gardnerville Water Company

Theft of Water Fine	S
---------------------	---

First Offense	\$	50.00
Second Offense	\$	200.00
Third Offense	\$	500.00
Fourth Offense	\$	1,000.00
W . D' . L . C 4	4	12 000 00

Water Rights Cost \$ 12,900.00 per acre feet

Bi-Monthly Private Fire Fees

Meter Size		Bi-	Monthly Fee
	3/4"	\$	4.93
	1"	\$	6.58
	1 1/2"	\$	9.87
	2"	\$	13.16
	21/2"	\$	16.45
	3"	\$	19.74
	4"	\$	26.32
	6"	\$	39.48
	8"	\$	52.64
	Private Hydrant	\$	39.48

Sewer Service Provided by Minden - Gardnerville Sanitation District

2/2015 Information provided by Mark Gonzales

Indian Hills GID

Population of Service Area:	5,627	DRAFT
-----------------------------	-------	-------

Annual Demand (AF): 788
Service Connections: 1,939

Residential: 1,867

Multi-Family:

Commercial: 72

Irrigation:

Other:

Metered Connections: 100% Unmetered Connections: 0

Re-Use Connections: 1 effluent used at Sunridge Golf Course

Bill Frequency: monthly

Unidentified

System Losses: ±1%
Conservation Plan: Yes

Reason for

Water Conservation: Meet state requirements

Rate Increase Effective: 8/1/2014

Indian Hills Residential and Commercial Water Rates

All Rate Classes:					
		В	Base Rate	Usage Chg k/gal	
	3/4"	\$	26.34	< 10 @\$1.95	
	1"	\$	41.16	≥ 10 @ \$2.30	
	1.5"	\$	86.02		
	2"	\$	126.45		
	3"	\$	211.17		
	4"	\$	426.56		
	6"	\$	622.78		
	8"	\$	940.16		

Expect Rate Change in the upcoming year?

Rates will increase annually 8/1 through FY 2018

Connection Fees:

3/4" \$	5,130.00	
1" \$	\$ 8,550.00	
1 1/2" 5	\$ 17,103.33	
2" \$	\$ 27,360.00	
3" \$	\$ 54,741.33	
4" 5	\$ 85,500.00	
6" 5	\$ 171,100.00	
8" 5	\$ 273,600.00	

Indian Hills GID

Other Charges/Fees:

Construction/Water Truck Service:

	Connection Fee:		Usage Chg			
	\$	150.00	k/gal			
			< 50 @ \$2.50			
			> 50 < 100 @ \$3.00			
			> 100 @ \$3.75			
Penalty Fee:	\$	500.00	Connecting to hydrant without notifying District			
Tampering with Water Meter	\$	250.00				
Business Hours Service Call	\$	30.00				
After Hours Service Call	\$	60.00				
Storm Water:	\$	0.10	monthly			
Sign-Up Fee:	\$	15.00				

Lyon County - Dayton and Moundhouse

2014 Water	DRAFT
------------	-------

Population of Service Area:14,670Annual Demand (AF):3,009Service Connections:5,993

Residential: 5,268 Multi-Family: 124 Commercial: 601

Irrigation:

Other:

Metered Connections: 100%
Unmetered Connections: 0
Re-Use Connections: 1
Bill Frequency: monthly
Unidentified

System Losses: 6%
Conservation Plan: Yes

Reason for Develop predictable water use trends, consumptive use profiles,

Water Conservation: planning horizons
Rate Increase Effective: 7/1/2014

Dayton Valley & Moundhouse Residential and Commercial Water Rates

Residential:		FY 2014		FY 2015	Usage Chg	
	Ва	ase Rate	В	ase Rate	k/gal	
3/4"	\$	23.58		24.16	≤5 in base	
					>5@\$2.90	
Low-Income Single -family	\$	15.80		16.19		
Commercial:		FY 2014		FY 2015		
	В	ase Rate	В	ase Rate	Usage Chg	
3/4 "	\$	23.58	\$	24.16	k/gal	
1"	\$	31.95	\$	32.75	≤5 in base	
1 1/2"	\$	45.85	\$	46.99	>5@\$2.90	
2"	\$	62.54	\$	64.11		
3"	\$	107.03	\$	109.71		
4"	\$	157.09	\$	161.01		
6"	\$	179.27	\$	183.75		
8"	\$	226.45	\$	232.11		

Expect Rate Change in the upcoming year? Increase annually on 7/1 through FY 2018

Lyon County - Dayton and Moundhouse

Water Connection Fees:

	п	Λ	Γ	г
11	ĸ	Δ	⊢ I	

Dayton and Mound House		
3/4"	\$ 4,303	
1"	\$ 8,606	
1 1/2"	\$ 17,212	
2"	\$ 27,538	
3"	\$ 55,076	
4"	\$ 86,056	
6"	\$ 172,112	
8"	\$ 275,380	

Other Charges/Fees:

Water Truck Service: \$ 2.90 per k/gal Groundwater Impact Fee \$1.00 per month

SEWER RATES	FY 2014	FY 2015
RESIDENTIAL	\$53.40	\$54.74
MULTI FAMILY	\$48.65	\$49.87
COMMERCIAL - BASE	\$21.91	\$22.46
- COST PER 1,000	\$3.93	\$4.02

Town of Minden

Population of Service Area: 3,003 DRAFT

Annual Demand (AF): 1,702 Service Connections: 1,667

Residential: 1,414

*Multi-Family:

Commercial: 245

Irrigation:

***Other: 8

**Metered Connections: 864 Unmetered Connections: 803

Re-Use Connections:

Bill Frequency: quarterly-residential; monthly-commercial 2

Unidentified

System Losses: Unknown

Conservation Plan: Yes/2007 (Update currently in Progress for 2015)

Reason for

Water Conservation: Meets State requirement

Rate Increase Effective: N/A

Town of Minden Residential and Commercial Water Rates

Town of Minden Residential and Commercial Water Rates									
Residential Flat Rate:		In Serv	ice /	Area Fees	Ou	Out of Area Service Fees			
	M	onthly	Quarterly		N	onthly	Quarterly		
Single Family	\$	28.95	\$	86.85	\$	38.80	\$ 116.40		
Patio Home	\$	25.60	\$	76.80					
Office Residential	\$	28.95	\$	86.85					
Commercial :					Ou	it of Area	Service Fees		
Metered:	Ba	ase Rate			Co	mmercia	l - Metered		
3/4"	\$	24.05		Usage Chg	\$	32.25	Usage Chg		
1"	\$	27.30		k/gal	\$	36.60	k/gal		
1 1/2"	\$	37.60		≤50@\$1.50	\$	50.40	≤50k @\$2.05		
2"	\$	61.40	<50	0≤100@\$1.65	\$	82.30	>50-100k @\$2.25		
3"	\$	122.75		>100@\$1.85	\$	164.50	>100k @ \$2.50		
4" or larger	\$	151.45			\$	203.60			
Unmetered:	\$	21.32	per	month per equiv	alent d wel	lling unit			

Expect Rate Change in the upcoming year? No

^{*}Multi Family Connections are billed either as Residential or Commercial connections, depending on the criteria met. There is no Multi-Family billing category.

^{**864} Metered Connections, but only 245 (commercial) are billed at a metered rate. The other 415 are Metered Residential customers who pay a flat rate and 5 are metered town property with no charge.

^{***}Other denotes town property metered with no charge

Town of Minden

Connection Fees:

Residential	brings	town provides	5
V	vater right	water right	i e
single family 3/4"	\$4,650	\$11,785	
single family 1"	\$5,215	\$12,440	
multi-family unit 3/4"	\$4,105	\$9,525	
multi-family unit 1"	\$4,680	\$10,100	
Residential >1" pays non-resid	ential rate		
Non-Residential			
F	ixed Cost		
3/4"	\$1,010	Variable	Variable
1"	\$1,665	cost/edu	cost of w/r
1 1/2"	\$3,520	\$3,000	\$6,450 af
2"	\$5,500		2.55 edus
3"	\$10,650		per af/yr
4"	4" \$16,450		
>4" (ase by case		

Other Charges/Fees:

Construction/Water Truck Service:

 Monthly
 k/gal

 \$ 50.00
 \$1.50 per k/gal② ≤50k

 \$ \$1.65 per k/gal② >50-100k

 \$ \$1.85 per k/gal② >100k

Fire Hydrant Standby Fee

Fire Sprinkler Standby Fee 1 \$ 18.20 Up to 3" line \$ 18.20 2 \$ 36.50 4" line \$ 24.35 3 \$ 54.10 6" line \$ 36.50 4 \$ 73.05 8" line \$ 48.65

Sewer Service Provided by Minden - Gardnerville Sanitation District

2/2015 Information provided by Town of Minden staff

Silver Springs Mutual Water Company

Population of Service Area: 2,813 DRAFT

Annual Demand (AF): 546 Service Connections: 1,088

Residential: 966

Multi-Family:

Commercial: 119

Irrigation:

Other: 3 Industrial

Metered Connections: 100% Unmetered Connections: 0

Re-Use Connections:

Bill Frequency: monthly

Unidentified
System Losses:

Unknown

Conservation Plan:

Yes

Reason for

Water Conservation:

We live in a desert climate.

Rate Increase Effective:

10/1/2014

Silver Springs Mutual Water Company Residential and Commercial Water Rates

Residential:		Zone 1	Zone 2			Usage Chg		
Residential	В	ase Rate	В	ase Rate		k/gal		
3/4"	\$	45.00	\$	49.00		< 15 in base		
1"	\$	75.15	\$	81.83		≥15@\$2.00		
1 1/2"	\$	149.85	\$	163.17				
	\$		\$	261.17				
3"	\$	480.15	\$	522.83				
4"	\$	750.15	\$	816.83				
6"		1,499.85	\$	1,633.17				
Commercial/Industria	ıl:							
		Zone 1		Zone 2	Usage	Trailer Parks,		Usage Chg
	В	ase Rate	В	ase Rate	Usage	Apartments,	Motels**	k/gal
					< 15 in	Silver Springs		
3/4"	\$	49.00	\$	53.00	base	МНР	\$282.49	< 5 in base
					≥15@	Five Star		
1"	\$	81.83	\$	88.51	\$2.00	МНР	\$282.49	≥5@\$2.00
						Diameer MALID		
1 1/2"	\$	163.17	\$	176.49		Pioneer MHP	\$163.17	< 15 in base
						Silverado		
2"	\$	261.17	\$	282.49		Senior Apts.	\$234.52	≥15@\$2.00
3"	\$	522.83	\$	565.51				
4"	\$	816.83	\$	883.51		** Entire Busir	ness	
6"	\$	1,499.85	\$	1,766.49				

Expect Rate Change in the

Expect rate change October 2016.

upcoming year?

Silver Springs Mutual Water Company

Connection Fees:

3/4"	\$3,200	+actual cost
1"	\$5,300	+actual cost
1 1/2"	\$10,500	+actual cost
2"	\$16,800	+actual cost
3"	\$33,600	+actual cost
4"	\$57,700	+actual cost
6"	\$105,000	+actual cost
4" Fire	\$5,000	System Service Line
6" Fire	\$7,500	System Service Line

Construction Water:

	Monthly Usage Chg	
	Base Rate k/gal	
Hydrant Meter Acco	\$30.00 ≤50 @ \$2.75	
	>50 ≤100 @ \$3.50)
	>100 @ \$4.50	
Bulk Water Account	\$20.00 ≤50 @ \$2.75	
	>50 ≤100 @ \$3.50)
	>100 @ \$4.50	
Other Charges/Fees:		
Other Fees		
Datuma ad Chaalm	¢2E 00	

		100 @ \$4.50
Other Charges/Fees		>100 @ \$4.50
Other Charges/Fees:		
Other Fees	4	
Returned Check:	\$25.00	
Admin Fee/ Collectior	\$20.00	
Service Calls:		
Regular Hours	\$25.00	
After Hours/Holidays	\$50.00	
Establish Service	\$25.00	
Transfer Service	\$25.00	
24 Hour Disconnect		
Notice	\$15.00	
Reconnection Fee	\$50.00	
After-Hours		
Reconnection	\$75.00	
Stand-by Fire line		
Charge	\$10.00	
Vector Unit/Dump		
Truck	\$125.00	hourly
Backhoe	\$125.00	hourly
Tamper/Asphalt		·
Saw/Generator	\$25.00	hourly
Pickup or Utility		
Truck	\$25.00	hourly
2/2015 Information prov		•
,,		,

Stagecoach GID

Population of Service Area:	1,282	DRAFT
-----------------------------	-------	-------

Annual Demand (AF): 248
Service Connections: 549

Residential: 543
Multi-Family: 2
Commercial: 4

Irrigation:

Other:

Metered Connections:100%Unmetered Connections:0Re-Use Connections:0Bill Frequency:monthly

Unidentified

System Losses: 9% Conservation Plan: Yes/2007

Reason for

Water Conservation: Prudent use of natural resources

Rate Increase Effective: 1/1/2015

Stagecoach GID Residential and Commercial Water Rates

Stagecoach Gib Residential and Commercial Water Rates				
Residential:				
	Base Rate	Usage Chg		
		k/gal		
	\$ 54.00	≤25 in base		
		>25@\$3.00		
Commercial:	-			
	Base Rate	Usage Chg		
		k/gal		
1"	\$ 76.90			
1 1/2 "	\$ 94.01	≤25 in base		
2"	\$ 122.80	>25@\$3.50		
3"	\$ 179.60	>50≤100@\$4.00		
4"	\$ 237.11	>100@\$5.00		
6"	\$ 351.49			
Water Dedication	.67 af per parcel			
	1 hook-up - none			
	split parcels67 af	/parcel		

Expect Rate Change in the upcoming year?

Rates will increase annually at the beginning of the calendar year based on the Social Security Increase.

Stagecoach GID

Connection Fees:

Residential All Sizes		\$5,000.00	District & Explansion Lots	
Commerical Hook Fees		<u> </u>		
Fee schedue will be the basis for	assessing c	ommercial hook-up fees.		
Equivalent Residential Unit GDP		Connection Fee	Dedication of Water Right	
1	600	1 X residential fee	None	
2	1,200	2 X residential fee	.67 acre feet	
3	1,800	3 X residential fee	1.34 acre feet	
4	2,400	4 X residential fee	2.01 acre feet	

Plus additional cost for meter larger than 3/4 X 5/8.

2/2015 Information provided by Lynne Arndell

Storey County

Population of Service Area: 1,300 DRAFT

Annual Demand (AF):

Service Connections: 705

Residential: 485

Multi-Family:

Commercial: 220

Irrigation:

Other:

Metered Connections: 100% Unmetered Connections: 0

Re-Use Connections:

Bill Frequency:

monthly

Unidentified

System Losses: Unknown Conservation Plan: Yes

Reason for

Water Conservation:

Meet State Requirements

Rate Increase Effective: 7/1/2014

Storey County Residential and Commercial Water Rates

Residential:				
	Base I	Rate	Usage Chg	
			k/gal	
	\$ 32	2.46	≤2 in base	
			>2@\$2.79	
Commercial:				
	Base Rate		Usage Chg	
			k/gal	
	Commercial 4	9.62	≤2 in base	
			>2@\$3.70	

Expect Rate Change in the upcoming year?Board approves annual increases at

beginning of fiscal year (July 1) until rates

are comparable to neighboring

jurisdictions

Storey County

Water Connection Fees:

Standard Connection Fees with meter					
Residential					
Within 50 feet of main line	3/4"	\$	2,500.00		
Commercial					
Within 50 feet of main line	3/4"	\$	4,100.00		
Other Charges/Fees:	_		-		
Returned Check Fee:		\$	25.00		
Disconnect Fee for Non-payment		\$	60.00		
Disconnect/Reconnect Fee		\$	10.00		
Service Calls			No Fees		
Total Disconnect fee			\$1,200.00		

2/2015 Information provided by Austin Osborne & Susan Breylinger

Truckee Meadows Water Authority

Truckee Meadows Water Authority Residential and Commercial Water Rates

For Information Purposes only - from TMWA Website

Residential:						
		Me	etered			
		Bas	se Rate	Usage Chg	Flat Rate	
	≤3/4"	\$	18.54	k/gal	≤3/4"	\$ 100.63
	1"	\$	20.40	≤ 6@\$1.72	1"	\$ 144.90
	1 1/2"	\$	23.20	>6-28@\$2.78	1 1/2"	\$ 262.60
	2"	\$	26.90	>28@\$3.25	2"	\$ 374.30
	3"	\$	30.60		3"	\$ 469.90
	4"	\$	32.50		4"	\$ 595.70
	6"	\$	40.80			

Commercial:

	Co	mmerci	al	Tier Quantities in k/gal			
	Bas	se Rate	•	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier3	
≤3/4"	\$	18.54	Usage Chg	0-7	>7-30	>30	
1"	\$	20.40	k/gal	0-14	>14-65	>65	
1 1/2"	\$	23.20	Tier 1: \$1.72	0-28	>28-120	>120	
2"	\$	26.90	Tier 2: \$2.78	0-50	>55-210	>210	
3"	\$	30.60	Tier 3: \$3.25	0-165	>200-640	>640	
4"	\$	32.50		0-300	>380-1,300	>1,300	
6"	\$	40.80		0-1,000	>1,360-2,600	>2,600	
8"	\$	47.30		0-1,475	>1,475-6,000	>6,000	
10"	\$	55.60		0-9,500	>9,500-15,000	>15,000	

See pages 2 - 3 for schedule of connection fees

From TMWA Website:

http://tmwa.com/customer_services/waterrates/

Accessed 2/19/2015

Truckee Meadows Water Authority

TMWA Connection Fees

A. Design report or letter for NAC Compliance

	Engineering &			fting of	Inspection or			
	Resources		"W-1" P	lan	Distribution Crew			
1. Final Map	\$	1,500.00		na		na		
2. Tentative Map								
a. Discovery Fee	S	ee Item E		na		na		
b. Water Service								
Acknowledgement Letter	\$	100.00		na		na		
3. Other new or Modified								
water system facilities								
a. Commercial	\$	1,100.00		na		na		
b. Multi-tenant	\$	1,100.00		na		na		
c. Feeder of project main	\$	1,100.00		na		na		
B. Engineering and Planning review, approval, and inspection of water system facilities								
	Engineer	ing &	Design or Dra	afting of	In	spection or		
	Resour	ces	"W-1" P	lan	Dist	ibution Crew		
1. New Service, or Modified Service								
a. Residential	\$	150.00	\$	500.00	\$	150.00		
b. Residential	\$	720.00	na		\$	150.00		
i. Add for each point of inspection	\$	15.00	na		\$	150.00		
c. Commercial & Industrial	\$	300.00	\$	750.00	\$	150.00		
a. Residential: single service tap with	service p	ipe and me	ter facility (no	n-subdivis	ion)			
b. Residential subdivisions, multi-ten	ant, and	commercial	/industrial with	n main				
c. Commercial, industrial, tenant impro	vements, l	rrigation, fire	e protection or r	on-potable	e (incl	udes up to		
three service taps with service pipes and	d/or mete	r facilities)						
i. Add for each additional service tap	\$	150.00	\$	250.00	\$	150.00		
2. Fire Hydrant	\$	150.00	\$	500.00	\$	150.00		
3. Feeder or project main only	\$	720.00	na		\$	150.00		
a. Add per lineal foot	na		na		\$	1.00		
b. Add for each point of inspectio	n							
along main	\$	15.00	na		\$	150.00		
4. Retirements and domestic well								
disconnections	na		na		\$	150.00		

Truckee Meadows Water Authority

TMWA Connection Fees

C. Installation of Service Tap	Engineering &	eering & Design or Drafting of		Inspection or			
	Resources	"W-1" Plan	Dist	tribution Crew			
1. Tapping < 2 inches	na	na	\$	400.00			
2. Tapping > than 12 inches	na	na	\$	500.00			
3. Construction standards/costs	na	na	\$	500.00			
1. Tapping up to 2" hot tap (Light or 2-man crew)							
2. Tapping greater than 12 inches are subject to Authority rules							

	Engineer Resour	•	Design or Drafting of "W-1" Plan	-	ction or Ition Crew
D. Design review and inspection	\$	430.00	na	\$	2,380.00
D. Pressure regulating station desig	n review a	nd inspection	on (includes set-up of sta	ition)	
E. Due diligence fees for					
water projects					
1. Annexation	\$	1,500.00	na		na
2. Discovery - Level 1	\$	720.00	na		na
3. Discovery - Level 2	\$	1,440.00	na		na
4. Hardship Letters:					
a. Parcels/Lots < 500 ft	\$	150.00	na		na
from TMWA					
b. Parcels/Lots > 500 ft	\$	50.00	na		na
from TMWA					

a. For parcels or lot less than 500 ft from the Authority's water system facilities

3. Construction standards, and costs are the responsibility of the applicant

TMWA connections from Website: Business Service Fees

Connection Fees	Engineeri Resour	•	Design or Drafting of "W-1" Plan	Inspection or Distribution Crew			
F. Due diligence for property							
and water resources							
1. Research / Title Non-	\$	250.00	na	na			
permitted water rights							
2. Research/Title Permitted	\$	100.00	na	na			
Water rights							
3. Tenant improvement of							
Water Resource Credit(s)	\$	150.00	na	na			
4. Easement, right-of-way,etc.	\$	150.00	na	na			
5. Document prepartation	\$	100.00	na	na			
1. Due diligence fees to research and verify title of non-permitted water rights, per parcel							
2. Due diligence to research and verify title of permitted water rights, per parcel							
3. Due diligence fees for tenant improvement of water resource credit (s)							
4. Due diligence fees for easement, rightof-way or fee property dedications per parcel							

5. Preparation of documents

b. For parcel or lot greater than 500 ft. from the Authority's water system facilities

Washoe County

Water Rates for Informational purposes only

As of 1/2015 Truckee Meadows Water Authority took over Washoe County Water Utility; see Truckee Meadows Water Authority for rates.

Sewer Rates in the Carson River Watershed

3-18-2015

Supplement to Water Rates in the Carson River Watershed

Compiled by
Carson Water Subconservancy District

Carson City Sewer Rates:

Effective:		7/1/2014	7	/1/2015	7	/1/2016	7/	1/2017
Flat Rates:								
Single - Family Residential (per unit)	\$	29.68	\$	33.01	\$	36.61	\$	40.45
Multi Family Residential (per unit)	\$	17.53	\$	20.29	\$	23.48	\$	27.18
Metered Rates:								
Low-Strength Commercial								
Base Charge	\$	21.17	\$	27.60	\$	34.03	\$	40.45
Volume charge (per 1,000 gallons of								
metered domestic water)	\$	4.41	\$	5.03	\$	5.82	\$	6.78
High-Strength Commercial								
Base Charge	\$	21.17	\$	27.60	\$	34.03	\$	40.45
Volume charge (per 1,000 gallons of								
metered domestic water)	\$	6.44	\$	7.91	\$	9.73	\$	11.98
Septage haulers shall be charged \$45.60 for the first 1,000 gallons or fraction thereof plus								
\$0.047 per gallon for each gallon in excess of the first 1,000 gallons.								

Septage haulers

Base Charge	\$ 45.60 0 - 1,000 gallons
per gallon for each gallon >1,000 gallons	\$ 0.047

Sewer Connection Fees:	\$	577.00 per the sewer equivalent residential customer value.
Sewer Equivalent		residential easterner value.
Residential Customer		
(SERC)	SERC	
 Single Family Residential	1.00	
Duplex (each living unit)	1.00	
Apartment		
(each living unit)	0.50	
Mobile Home		
Individual Lot	1.00	
Mobile Home Park (each	0.50	
All others, per SERC	1.00	

Each SERC is equal to 250 gallons, per 12.02.030

For all other sewer connections not specified above, connection charge will be based on the "total SERC" of proposed development. Value will be assigned by utility director or his designee.

Connection Fees currently under review.

2/2015 updated by Dave Bruketta & Carson City staff

Churchill County Sewer Rates

		DRAFT
1	L/1/2015	
\$	50.80	
\$	50.80	
\$	50.80	
\$	4.20	
\$	50.80	
\$	4.20	plus additional surcharge as determined by Churchill County
	\$ \$ \$ \$	\$ 50.80 \$ 50.80 \$ 4.20 \$ 50.80

Sewer Connection Fees:

Single Family Residential		\$ 6,500.00	Connection privilege & capital
			costs before connection to the
			Churchill County sewer services.
Commercial	Base Rate	\$ 6,500.00	Included: 15 ERUs
	Base Rate +	\$ 325.00	per ERU equivalent when > 15 ERUs
			Calculation of ERU units will be
			performed using flow formula by
			Churchill County Building
			Department

2/2015 Information provided by Churchill County

Douglas County Sewer Rates

North Valley Wastewater Facility

DRAFT

Rate Effective: 10/1/2014 Sewer Rate will increase every year on July 1 through

FY 2018-2019.

Monthly Sewer Rates \$ 64.43 per EDU 1 EDU = 250 gallons

\$ 0.11 per gallon for domestic septage*

* As a practical matter, Douglas County does not accept septage at the North Valley Wastewater Facility, but the \$0.11 per gallon charge is still in place on the books.

Sewer Connection Fees

North County Portion \$ 7,150.00 Genoa Lakes \$ 7,072.00

All other North Valley

Service \$ 6,020.00

2/2015 Information provided by Carl Ruschmeyer and staff

City of Fallon Sewer Rates

City of Fallon Sewer Rates:

DRAFT

Flat Rates:	
Single - Family Residential (per unit)	\$ 25.00 per month
Multi Family Residential (per unit)	\$ 25.00 per month
Metered Rates:	
Commercial Normal	
Base Charge	\$ 8.33 per month
Volume charge (per 1,000 gallons of metered	\$ 1.55 per kgal metered water
domestic water)	
High-Strength Commercial	
Base Charge	\$ 8.33 per month
Volume charge (per 1,000 gallons of metered	Determined on a case-by-case basis
domestic water)	

Sewer Connection Fees:

3/4"	\$	3,000			
1"	\$	6,000			
1 1/2"	\$	12,000			
2"	\$	19,200			
3"	\$	48,000			
4"	\$	120,000			
6"	\$	240,000			
	1" 1 1/2" 2" 3" 4"	3/4" \$ 1" \$ 11/2" \$ 2" \$ 3" \$ 4" \$ 6" \$	1" \$ 6,000 1 1/2" \$ 12,000 2" \$ 19,200 3" \$ 48,000 4" \$ 120,000	1" \$ 6,000 1 1/2" \$ 12,000 2" \$ 19,200 3" \$ 48,000 4" \$ 120,000	1" \$ 6,000 1 1/2" \$ 12,000 2" \$ 19,200 3" \$ 48,000 4" \$ 120,000

2/2015 Information from Jim Souba, City of Fallon Engineer

Gardnerville Ranchos GID Sewer Rates

DRAFT

Monthly Sewer User Fees:

Category One: \$ 21.50 Original Contract

Category Two: \$ 14.50 Discount for GRGID line maintenance

A rate increase of \$.50 per month will commence for the July 2014 billing.

The Minden-Gardnerville Sanitation District has a contract with Gardnerville Ranchos GID to provide sewer service to the area. Gardnerville Ranchos GID bills its residents for sewer service.

2/2015 Information provided by Bob Spellberg

Indian Hills GID Sewer Rates

DRAFT

Monthly Sewer Fees:

Residential Flat Rate	\$ 34.32	
Commercial	\$ 34.32	per EDU
		250 gpd = 1 EDU
		Additional charges determined by IHGID if
		discharge contains non-standard constituents
Sewer Connection Fees:		
Single Family Residential	\$ 5,130.00	

2/2015 Information provided by John Lufrano and Indian Hills GID staff

Lyon County - Dayton and Moundhouse Sewer Rates

DRAFT

2013 Sewer

Population of Service Area: 11,973
Annual Demand (AF): N/A
Service Connections: 4,506

Residential: 4,259 Multi-Family: 117 Commercial: 130

Irrigation: Other:

Metered Connections:

100%

Rate Increase Effective:

7/1/2009

Lyon County Sewer Rates for Moundhouse & Dayton:

Flat Rates:	-	
Single - Family Residential (per unit)	\$	53.40 per month
Multi Family Residential (per unit)	\$	47.75 per month
Low Income Single - Family Residential	\$	35.17 per month
Metered Rates:		
Commercial & Industrial		
Base Charge (No Usage Allowance)	\$	21.01 per month
Volume charge (per 1,000 gallons of		
metered domestic water)	\$	3.83 per k/gal
High-Strength Commercial		
Base Charge	\$	21.01 per month
Volume charge (per 1,000 gallons of	[Determined on a case-by-case basis
metered domestic water)		

Lyon County - Dayton and Moundhouse Sewer Rates

Sewer Connection Fees:					DRA	AFT
Single Family Residential						-
Reimbursement Fee - Collection	\$	307.00				
Bond Payments Fee	\$	2,200.00				
Capital Facility Charge	\$	7,109.00				
Total Sewer Service Connection Fee	\$	9,616.00				
	•	,	TSS	(per lb.per	В	OD (per lb.
Non-resident or Mixed Uses	Flow	(per gpd)		day)		per day)
Reimbursement Fee - Collection	\$	1.53	\$	-	\$	
Bond Payments Fee	\$	8.14	\$	867. 00		867.00
Capital Facility Charge	\$	26.30	\$	2,801.00	\$	2,801.00
Total Sewer Service Connection Fee	\$	35.97	\$	3,668.00	\$	3,668.00
One Single Family Dwelling Unit (EDU) refers to a single family unit with an estimated sewage flow				ed sewage flow		
of 200 gpd and sewage strength not to ex	xceed 200	mg per lite	r of	biochemical (oxyg	en demand
(BOD) and 200 mg per liter for total susp	ended sol	ids (TSS).				
The number of single family EDUs per res	sidential u	ises.				
Residential Use	Equi	valent Single	e Far	mily Dwelling	g Uni	t
Single Family Residential		1				
Residential Duplex		2				
Residential Triplex		3				
Residential Fourplex		4				
Apartments	Num	ber of Singl	e Fa	mily Units		
Mobile Home		1				

2/2015 Information provided by Mike Workman and staff

Lyon County - Silver Springs Sewer Rates

Rate Increase Effective:

7/1/2014

DRAFT

Lyon County Sewer Rates for Silver Springs:

Residential	\$ 20.00	per EDU		Except 8.5 EDUs		_
Commercial	\$ 30.00	per EDU				
Rate Codes	EDU	Cost		EDU	Cost	
	1	\$	20.00	10	\$	200.00
	1.5	\$	30.00	11	\$	220.00
	2	\$	40.00	11.9	\$	238.00
	2.5	\$	50.00	19	\$	380.00
	2.7	\$	54.00	25	\$	500.00
	2.8	\$	56.00	33	\$	660.00
	3	\$	60.00	35	\$	700.00
	3.1	\$	62.00	38	\$	760.00
	4	\$	80.00	197	\$	3,940.00
	5.2	\$	104.00			
	5.5	\$	110.00			
	6	\$	120.00			
	8.5	\$	235.00			

One Single Family Dwelling Unit (EDU) refers to a single family unit with an estimated sewage flow of 242 gpd.

Sewer Connection Fees:

The majority of customers pay connection fee via tax

assessment

However, if the connection fee did not have an assessment,

the fee would be \$8,264.00

Minden Gardnerville Sanitation District Sewer Rates

DRAFT

Minden - Gardnerville

Gardnerville.

Sanitation District serves

customers in Minden and

The Minden-Gardnerville

contract with Gardnerville

Ranchos GID to provide sewer

Gardnerville Ranchos GID bills

Sanitation District has a

service to the area.

service.

its residents for sewer

B 1		
Populatio	n of Service	Aras:
ropulatio	I OI JEI VICE	AICa.

Annual Demand (AF): N/A
Service Connections:

Residential: 2,800
*Multi-Family: 50
Commercial: 350
Irrigation: 0

***Other: 0

**Metered Connections:

Unmetered Connections: 3,200

Re-Use Connections:

Bill Frequency: Quarterly

Unidentified

System Losses: Unknown
Conservation Plan: N/A

Reason for

Water Conservation: N/A
Rate Increase Effective: N/A

Sewer Fees

Sewer User Fees are billed quarterly as follows:

First Quarter: \$ 41.40 per EDU
Second Quarter: \$ 41.86 per EDU
Third Quarter: \$ 42.32 per EDU
Fourth Quarter: \$ 42.32 per EDU

Connection Fees:

Residential

\$ 275.00 includes 1 EDU

Commercial

\$ 300.00 per EDU

Capacity fee \$ 3,800.00

Expect Rate Change in the

upcoming year? No

2/2015 Information provided by Frank Johnson and staff

Storey County Sewer Rates

Population of Service Area:

1,300

DRAFT

Rate Increase Effective:

7/1/2014

Sewer Fees:

Residential		
Flat Rate	\$	27.73 No usage fee
Standby *	\$	13.86
Commercial		
Flat fee:	\$	37.77 Include 2,000 gallons of water usage
Usage fee:	\$	2.14 per additional k/gal of water usage
Standby *	\$	18.88
Recconnect Fee	\$	60.00
*Standby fee is equal to to half	the monthly water	r and sewer rates.

Sewer Connection Fees:

Standard Connection Fees with meter	
Residential	\$ 3,300.00
Commercial	\$ 4,800.00



CARSON WATER SUBCONSERVANCY DISTRICT

TO:

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

FROM:

EDWIN D. JAMES &

DATE:

MARCH 18, 2015

SUBJECT: Agenda Item #18 - Discussion for possible action regarding an update on

the runoff predictions for 2015.

DISCUSSION: Staff will give an update on the runoff predictions for 2015.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Receive and file.



CARSON WATER SUBCONSERVANCY DISTRICT

TO: BOARD OF DIRECTORS

FROM: EDWIN D. JAMES

DATE: MARCH 18, 2015

SUBJECT: Agenda Item #19 - Staff reports

DISCUSSION: The following is a list of meetings/activities attended by Ed James and staff since the last Board meeting on February 18, 2015:

- 2/20-21/15 Courtney manned a CWSD/CRC booth at the Eagles and Agriculture event in Douglas County.
- 2/19/15 Ed gave a presentation to the Assembly Committee on Natural Resources regarding CWSD activities.
- 2/19/15 Brenda, Debbie, and Toni tested audiovisuals at the Dayton Community Center as the venue for the 4/22/15 CRC Floodplain Management Forum and researched caterers for events in the area.
- 2/23-27/15 Ed attended the FEMA Cooperative Technical Partnership training in Emmitsburg, MD.
- 2/24/15 Debbie participated in a meeting with interested parties regarding the Silver Springs drainage area development.
- 2/24/15 Brenda took a tour of the Voltaire Canyon rumble pit with Ann Bollinger and Rich Wilkinson of Carson City.
- 2/25/15 Brenda attended a Nevada Silver Jackets meeting in Reno.
- 3/4/15 Ed and Toni participated in the Finance Committee meeting to hear project proposals and determine the FY 2014-15 budget.
- 3/4/15 Brenda, Courtney, and Debbie met with Brandon Robinson of RDM Infinity regarding the CWSD website.
- 3/5/15 Courtney attended in a Carson City Weed Coalition presentation by Jamie Greer of the Nevada Department of Agriculture.
- 3/5/15 Ed attended the Assembly Committee on Legislative Operations and Elections meeting regarding AB 198 requiring the Legislative Committee on Public Lands to conduct a study concerning water conservation and alternative sources of water for Nevada communities.
- 3/6/15 Brenda, Courtney, Duane Petite of The Nature Conservancy, and Mary Kay Wagner of NDEP had a teleconference with Mark Duda of Responsive Management regarding the Watershed-Literacy Survey.
- 3/6/15 Ed participated in a State Engineer's workshop regarding SB 81 dealing with critical management areas and SB 65 to clean up some of their statutes.
- 3/6/15 Ed attended the Central Nevada Regional Water Authority Board meeting.

- 3/6/15 Ed and Debbie met to review grant reporting information from the FEMA Cooperative Technical Partner training that Ed attended
- 3/7/15 Ed participated in the interview panel for Dayton Valley Conservation District's Manager in Dayton.
- 3/9/15 Ed was interviewed by University of Nevada Cooperative Extension personnel regarding water resource planning.
- 3/9/15 Ed participated in a meeting with USGS and the Federal Water Master regarding modeling the Carson River.
- 3/10/15 Ed participated in a meeting with Robb Fellows of Carson City and Geoff Brownell of Michael Baker Engineering regarding the Eagle Valley drainage.
- 3/10/15 Ed participated in the Carson Truckee Water Conservancy District Board meeting.
- 3/10/15 Courtney participated in a GREENevada meeting at the Desert Research Institute (DRI) in Reno.
- 3/11/15 Ed and Brenda met with Steve Lewis of the University of Nevada Cooperative Extension to discuss the 3/27/15 CWSD Strategic Planning Session.
- 3/11/15 Ed participated in a Nevada Water Resource Association (NWRA) Board meeting.
- 3/11/15 Brenda, Courtney, Toni, and the CRC Weed Working Group participated in a meeting with Dean Tonena of the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) regarding the BLM Resource Management Plan for weeds.
- 3/13/15 Ed participated in a NWRA meeting.
- 3/17/15 Courtney and Toni participated in a CRC Education Working Group meeting.
- 3/17/15 Courtney participated in a River Network webinar regarding Innovative Funding Mechanisms.
- 3/18/15 Ed and Courtney listened to a webcast regarding the Environmental Law Institute (ELI)/The Nature Conservancy (TNC) Watershed Approach Handbook.

Additional meetings/activities anticipated by staff until the end of March include:

- 3/25/15 Ed will participate in a water supply movie screening and discussion panel at the Gardnerville Community Center.
- 3/26/15 Courtney will participate in a webinar regarding the Stream Channel Repair and Restoration After Extreme Flooding.
- 3/27/15 Staff and Board will participate in a Strategic Planning Session in the Nevada Association of Counties' (NACO) Conference Room.

CORRESPONDENCE



USACE Considers Changes for PL 84-99 Program

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

BUILDING STRONG®

Background on Public Law 84-99. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) has authority under Public Law 84-99 (PL 84-99) to undertake activities including disaster preparedness and advance measures, emergency operations including flood response and post-flood response, and the rehabilitation of flood risk management projects damaged or destroyed by floods. PL 84-99 authorities also include the protection or repair of federally-authorized Coastal Storm Damage Reduction projects.

USACE Considering Changes to the Implementation of PL 84-99. USACE is considering changes to policies and procedures for activities administered under authority of PL 84-99. These changes will update USACE policies to align with national preparedness and response frameworks, encourage broader flood risk management activities by sponsors, reduce repetitive damage to projects and incorporate a life cycle risk management approach to Civil Works disaster preparedness, response and recovery. These changes support the agency's strategic direction and advances in risk-informed decision making, increased risk awareness communications, improved relationships with non-federal sponsors and enhanced long-term sustainability and resiliency of projects.

The USACE will implement policies in accordance with the following guiding principles:

- Effective risk management and levee safety includes working with non-federal sponsors and stakeholders to assess, communicate, and manage life-safety risks.
- Federal assistance under PL 84-99 supplements tribal, state, and local efforts, and does not replace them.
- Non-federal sponsors have primary responsibility for operations and maintenance (O&M) of flood control works and risk communication activities associated with their projects.
- USACE will promote the use of a risk-informed decision making process to guide non-federal sponsors' O&M
 activities and inspection activities for flood control projects.
- USACE will encourage a collaborative approach to address complex natural resources issues, Tribal Treaty Rights, and complex systemic deficiencies.

Highlights of Specific Policy Changes Being Considered

- Developing Additional Guidance for Advance Measures. Specific guidance for the development of improvements to structures or other Advance Measures will address increased short term flood risk in areas downstream of areas denuded by wild land fire. Consider adjusting cost share requirements to align with permanent structure construction policy. Consider additional types of Advance Measures and related performance requirements for non-federal sponsors.
- Revising Eligibility for Rehabilitation Program. Consider changes to eligibility criteria for rehabilitation assistance from a strict condition-based assessment to a set of requirements that encourage flood risk management activities such as emergency preparedness planning, risk communication and prioritizing maintenance activities based on risk. Overall system rating will no longer be the determining factor for eligibility. However, USACE will continue to conduct inspections and risk assessments and provide results to non-federal sponsors. Inspection results should guide operations and maintenance activities, identify potential problem areas early, and provide information for sponsors to use when prioritizing and sequencing risk management activities.
- ♦ Improving Collaboration to Address Complicated Natural Resources Challenges and System-Wide Repairs. Formally incorporate System-Wide Improvement Framework tenets, which allow sponsors to retain

eligibility for rehabilitation assistance while conducting longer-term, system-wide improvement activities beyond usual operations and maintenance. This includes activities related to addressing complex natural resources challenges or treaties with tribes that require additional time and coordination. The purpose is to ensure the imperatives of public safety, Tribal Treaty Rights, and environmental requirements are adequately met.

- Mitigating Impacts to Threatened or Endangered Species and Tribal Treaty Rights During Project
 Rehabilitation. Incorporate additional guidance on types of features and approaches that can be incorporated
 into project repairs (i.e., planting berms, set-back levees) to mitigate impacts to threatened and endangered
 species and Tribal Treaty Rights.
- ♦ Early identification of Potential Nonstructural Alternative Projects (NSAPs). Expand the ability to investigate non-structural alternatives to a structural repair where sponsors are willing. This will allow non-structural alternatives to receive more consideration in the tight timeframes associated with rehabilitation assistance.

Stakeholder Feedback on Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. USACE is soliciting stakeholder feedback on the overall policy concepts being considered through an Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPR). The ANPR is available at http://www.regulations.gov. The ANPR provides background information on PL 84-99 authority, objectives of the policy changes under consideration, summary of the policy concepts, and targeted questions to help focus public comments. The ANPR has a 60-day comment period through which interested parties can provide input prior to the development of the Proposed Rule for 33 CFR Part 203. Comments may be submitted:

- Electronically at www.regulations.gov
- Via email to 33CFR203@usace.army.mil
- By mail to HQ, US Army Corps of Engineers, ATTN: 33CFR203/CECW-HS/3D64, 441 G Street NW, Washington DC 20314-1000

Future Opportunities to Provide Input. Following the ANPR comment review, USACE will update and publish the Proposed Rule for a 60-day comment period, revise the document based on comments received, publish the Final Rule, and then revise USACE internal guidance for 33 CFR Part 203 implementation.

For Additional Information. If you are interested in learning more about the PL 84-99 Program and/or your opportunities to provide input to changes to this program please contact Jeffrey Jensen Jeffrey.D.Jensen@usace.army.mil.

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE January 30, 2015

Contact: press@ceq.eop.gov

FACT SHEET: Taking Action to Protect Communities and Reduce the Cost of Future Flood Disasters

Across the country, extreme weather and other impacts of climate change are threatening the health, safety, and prosperity of our communities. This month, NOAA and NASA announced that 2014 was the hottest global year on record. And as the planet continues to warm, impacts like rising sea levels, intensified storms, and heavy downpours are contributing to an increased risk of flooding. President Obama is committed to ensuring that American communities thrive in the face of a changing climate. That is why, today, the President signed an Executive Order establishing a flood standard that will reduce the risk and cost of future flood disasters by requiring all Federal investments in and affecting floodplains to meet higher flood risk standards. By requiring that Federally funded buildings, roads and other infrastructure are constructed to better withstand the impacts of flooding, the President's action will support the thousands of communities that have strengthened their local floodplain management codes and standards, and will help ensure Federal projects last as long as intended.

This new Federal Flood Risk Management Standard, <u>called for</u> by the President's State, Local and Tribal Task Force on Climate Preparedness and Resilience, builds on the unprecedented actions President Obama has taken to support communities as they prepare for the impacts of climate change. Agencies will have flexibility in implementing the new Standard and will incorporate input from the public and stakeholders as they move forward, including through a series of public listening sessions across the country. This week, the Army Corps of Engineers <u>released</u> a comprehensive study that evaluates flood risks to the coastal areas affected by Hurricane Sandy and provides a framework to help communities address increasing flood risks. The study, which was called for by Congress, emphasizes the importance of improved planning, and notes that managing coastal storm risk is a shared responsibility by all levels of government. The Administration has made significant investments in resilient disaster recovery in the wake of devastating storms like Hurricane Sandy to ensure that infrastructure projects factor in climate impacts like rising sea levels, and to invest in making transit systems more resilient to flooding and extreme weather.

Flood Impacts on Communities

Floods leave behind big costs for communities and taxpayers. Between 1980 and 2013, the United States suffered more than \$260 billion in flood-related damages. Recent examples include record flooding from excessive rainfall in central and northern Illinois in April 2013 that damaged homes and businesses and caused an estimated \$1 billion in losses. And damages from Hurricane Sandy in 2012 – when high wind and coastal storm surge devastated the Northeast – are estimated at \$67 billion, with recovery efforts still ongoing.

More than 50 percent of Americans live in coastal counties, where key infrastructure and evacuation routes are increasingly vulnerable to impacts like higher sea levels, storm surges, and flooding. And according to the National Climate Assessment, more than \$1 trillion of property and structures in the U.S. are at risk of inundation from sea level rise of two feet above current sea level – an elevation that could be reached as early as 2050. That further jeopardizes the critical infrastructure Americans depend on every day for housing, transportation, energy, water supply, and more.

Protecting Communities and Reducing the Cost of Flood Disasters

In 2013, the President's Hurricane Sandy Rebuilding Task Force adopted a higher flood standard for the Sandy-affected region to ensure that Federally funded buildings, roads and other projects were rebuilt stronger to withstand future storms. The strengthened standard is similar to flood risk standards in place in the States of New York and New Jersey. The Sandy Task Force also recommended that the Federal Government create a national flood risk standard for Federally funded projects beyond the Sandy-affected region. In the President's Climate Action Plan, he followed through on this recommendation by directing agencies to update their flood-risk reduction standard to ensure that federally funded projects across the country last as long as they are intended. Over the past year, Federal agencies collaborated on this update. The new standard announced today gives agencies the flexibility to select one of three approaches for establishing the flood elevation and hazard area they use in siting, design, and construction. They can:

- Use data and methods informed by best-available, actionable climate science:
- Build two feet above the 100-year (1%-annual-chance) flood elevation for standard projects, and three feet above for critical buildings like hospitals and evacuation centers; or
- Build to the 500-year (0.2%-annual-chance) flood elevation.

To protect their homes, businesses and vital infrastructure from disasters, at least 350 communities across the country – ranging from Dallas and Nashville to Denver and Tulsa –and the States of Indiana, Montana, New York and Wisconsin have already adopted standards that either meet or exceed this new Federal standard. The Administration today is also releasing proposed guidelines – which will be available for 60 days of public comment -- that when finalized will provide guidance to agencies on implementing the new standard. Once public input has been considered, including from a series of public listening sessions that will be held across the country, and the guidelines are finalized, agencies will implement the Standard through their own rulemaking or other procedures, which also will incorporate input from the public and stakeholders.

The new flood standard will apply when Federal funds are used to build, or significantly retrofit or repair, structures and facilities in and around floodplains to ensure that those structures are resilient, safer, and long-lasting. It will not affect the standards or rates of the National Flood Insurance Program. Each agency will carefully consider how to appropriately apply this standard, and consider robust public input before deciding how to implement it.

To read the Executive Order, click here.

To read the flood-risk reduction standard, click here.

###



777 E. William Street Suite 110A P.O. Box 2672 Carson City, NV 89702

(775) 887-7456 (775) 887-7457 fax March 3, 2015

The Honorable Edmund G. Brown, Jr. Governor of the State of California Attn.: Appointments Unit State Capitol Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Reappointment of Donald Jardine

To the Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board

Dear Governor Brown:

It is the Carson Water Subconservancy District (CWSD) Board of Directors' pleasure to support the reappointment of Donald Jardine to the Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board. Since 2001, Mr. Jardine has served as one of two representatives from Alpine County on the CWSD Board. During this time Mr. Jardine helped oversee various water quality and quantity projects along the Carson River in Alpine County and its overall impact to the entire watershed.

Mr. Jardine has a long history in the Alpine County area. In addition, Mr. Jardine has served over 20 years on the Alpine County Board of Supervisors, and the boards of the Alpine County Water Agency, Alpine County/South Tahoe Public Utility District Contract Commission, and various other agencies. The CWSD Board feels this experience has made Mr. Jardine a valuable member of the Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board and enthusiastically supports his reappointment.

If you should like any further information, please feel free to call Edwin James, General Manager of CWSD, at 775/887-7456.

Sincerely,

Greg Lynn

Chairman of the Board

GL/tl